

Einleitung

- Edquist, C. (1997): Systems of Innovation Approaches - Their Emergence and Characteristics. In: Edquist, C. (Hrsg.): Systems of Innovation. Technologies, Institutions and Organizations. London: Pinter, S. 1-35.
- Etzkowitz, H.; Leydesdorff, L. (1998): The endless Transition: A "Triple Helix" of University-industry-government Relations, *Minerva*, 36, S. 203-208.
- Grupp, H. (1998): Foundations of the Economics of Innovation - Theory, Measurement and Practice, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Hekkert, M.P.; Suurs, R.A.A.; Negro, S.O.; Kuhlmann, S.; Smits, R.E.H.M. (2006): Functions of innovation systems: A new approach for analysing technological change. In: Technological Forecasting & Social Change.
- Kuhlmann, S.; Arnold, E. (2001): RCN in the Norwegian Research and Innovation System, Background Report No. 12, Oslo: Royal Norwegian Ministry for Education, Research and Church Affairs.
- Lundvall, B.-A. (Hrsg.) (1992): National Systems of innovation: towards a theory of innovation and interactive learning. London: Pinter.
- Nelson, R.R. (1993) National Innovation Systems: A Comparative Analysis. Oxford University Press, New York, New York.

Deutschland mit Kontakt zur Spitzengruppe

- Europäische Kommission (2011), Innovation Union Scoreboard (IUS) 2010. The Innovation Union's Performance Scoreboard for Research and Innovation, Brüssel: Europäische Union.
- Deutsche Telekom Stiftung (2009): Innovationsindikator Deutschland 2009, <http://www.telekom2.de/epaper-telekom-stiftung/innovationsreport2009/>
- Islam, M.F. Hasan, M.S. (2007): The macroeconomic effects of government debt on capital formation in the United States: An empirical investigation, *Manchester School*, Vol. 75, 598-616
- Hosoff, B. (2002): Monetary policy and stabilization of the US economy, *Economicky Casopis*, Vol. 50, 373-396.
- Rowley, C.K., Shughart, W.F. und Tollison, R.D., eds. (2002): The Economics of Budget Deficits; International Library of Critical Writings in Economics, vol. 153., Elgar, Cheltenham, U.K. und Northampton, MA.
- BMBF (2010): Ideen. Innovation. Wachstum. Hightech-Strategie 2020 für Deutschland. Bonn, Berlin: Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung.

- BMBF (2006): Die Hightech-Strategie für Deutschland. Mehr Beschäftigung, Bonn, Berlin: Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung.
- BMBF (2007): Die Hightech-Strategie für Deutschland – Erster Fortschrittsbericht, Bonn, Berlin: Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung.
- Council on Competitiveness (2004): Innovate America, Washington, DC: Council on Competitiveness.
- National Academies (2007): Rising above the Gathering Storm: Energizing and Employing America for a Brighter Economic Future, Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- National Academies (2010): Rising above the Gathering Storm, Revisited. Rapidly Approaching Category 5, Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- Shapira, P.; Youtie, J. (2010): The Innovation System and Innovation Policy in the United States. In: Frietsch, R.; Schüller, M. (eds.): Competing for Global Innovation Leadership: Innovation Systems and Policies in the USA, Europe and Asia. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag, pp. 5-29.

Erfolg braucht viele gute Eigenschaften

- Robin, S.; Schubert, T. (2010): Cooperation with Public Research Institutions and Success in Innovation: Evidence from France and Germany, Fraunhofer ISI Discussion Paper, No 24, ISSN 1612-1430
- Schmoch, U.; Schubert, T.; Jansen, D.; Heidler, R.; von Görtz, R. (2010): How to Use Indicators to Measure Scientific Performance? A Balanced Approach. Research Evaluation, S. 2-18.
- Schubert, T. (2009): Empirical Observations on New Public Management to Increase Efficiency in Public Research – Boon or Bane? Research Policy, Vol. 38, S. 1225-1234.
- OECD (2010): Learning for jobs: Synthesis report of the OECD reviews of vocational education and training, Paris: OECD.
- Field, S.; Hoeckel, K.; Kis, V.; Kuczera, M. (2009): OECD Reviews of Vocational Education and Training, Initial Report, Paris: OECD.
- OECD (2011): Skills for Innovation and Research, Paris: OECD.
- BIBB (2011): Datenreport zum Berufsbildungsbericht 2011, Bonn: BIBB.

Innovationsherd Asien

- Abele, C. (2011): VR China setzt auf Modernisierung und Innovation Zwölfter Fünfjahresplan gibt Ziele und Förderrahmen vor / Neue strategische Industrien spielen wichtige Rolle, Germany Trade and Invest, GTAI: Beijing.

- Adams, J.; King, C.; Ma, N. (2009): Global Research Report China. Research and collaboration in the new geography of science, Leeds: Evidence Ltd.
- Cuhls, K.; Wieczorek, I. (2010): Changes in the Japanese Innovation System and Innovation Policy. In: Frietsch, R.; Schüller, M. (eds.): Competing for Global Innovation Leadership: Innovation Systems and Policies in the USA, Europe and Asia. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag, pp. 143-168.
- Ernst, D. (2011): China's Innovation Policy Is a Wake-Up Call for America, Analysis from the East-West-Center No. 100, Honolulu: East-West-Center.
- Frietsch, R.; Hinze, S.; Tang, L. (2008): Bibliometric data study: Assessing the current ranking of the People's Republic of China in a set of research fields, Fraunhofer ISI Discussion Papers Innovation Systems and Policy Analysis No. 15, Karlsruhe: Fraunhofer ISI.
- Frietsch, R.; Meng, Y. (2010): Indicator-Based Reporting on the Chinese Innovation System 2010 - Life Sciences in China, Discussion Paper "Innovation Systems and Policy Analysis" No. 26, Karlsruhe: Fraunhofer ISI.
- Frietsch, R.; Wang, J. (2007): Intellectual Property Rights and Innovation Activities in China: Evidence from Patents and Publications, Discussion Paper "Innovation Systems and Policy Analysis" No. 13, Karlsruhe: Fraunhofer ISI.
- Frietsch, R.; Wang, J. (2009): China's Chemical Industry: Indications of Scientific and Economic Competitiveness. In: Chimica Oggi - Chemistry Today, 27 (5).
- Hassink, R. (2010): Supporting Regional Innovation Support Systems and Innovative Clusters in South Korea. In: Frietsch, R.; Schüller, M. (eds.): Competing for Global Innovation Leadership: Innovation Systems and Policies in the USA, Europe and Asia. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag, pp. 189-202.
- Kroll, H.; Conlé, M.; Schüller, M. (2010): China: Innovation System and Innovation Policy. In: Frietsch, R.; Schüller, M. (eds.): Competing for Global Innovation Leadership: Innovation Systems and Policies in the USA, Europe and Asia. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag, pp. 241-264.
- Mu, R.; Zhongbao, R.; Sida, Y.; Yan, Q. (2008): 'Technology foresight towards 2020 in China': the practice and its impacts. In: Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 20 (3), pp. 287-307.
- OECD (ed.) (2008): Reviews of innovation policy: China: OECD Publ., Paris.
- Roach, S.S. (2011): China's 12th Five-Year Plan: Strategies vs. Tactics, Morgan Stanley.

Schüller, M.; Shim, D. (2010): The Innovation System and Innovation Policy in South Korea. In: Frietsch, R.; Schüller, M. (eds.): Competing for Global Innovation Leadership: Innovation Systems and Policies in the USA, Europe and Asia. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag, pp. 169-188.

SCPRC (2006) Outline of the Long-Term National Plan for the Development of Science and Technology (2006-2020); State Council Decision Notice of the Implementation of the Long-Term National Plan for the Development of Science and Technology and the Increase of Independent Innovation, China Legal Publishing House, Beijing

Springut, M.; Schlaikjer, S.; Chen, D. (2011): China's Program for Science and Technology Modernization: Implications for American Competitiveness, Arlington: CENTRA Technology Inc.

Deutschland hat Chancen vertan

Nauwelaers, C., K. Guy, P. Cunningham, C. Rammer, M. Sellenthin (2009), Policy Mix

Licht, G., J. Egel, H. Legler, C. Rammer, U. Schmoch (2007), Bericht zur technologischen Leistungsfähigkeit Deutschlands 2007, Berlin: BMBF.

Oelkers, J. (2011): Bildungsförderalismus und Kooperationsverbot, Gutachten für die Deutsche Telekom Stiftung und die Robert Bosch Stiftung.

Bildung ist wichtig für langfristigen Erfolg

Edquist, C. (1997): Systems of Innovation Approaches - Their Emergence and Characteristics. In: Edquist, C. (Hrsg.): Systems of Innovation. Technologies, Institutions and Organizations. London: Pinter, S. 1-35.

Griliches, Z. (1979): Issues in Assessing the Contribution of Research and Development to Productivity Growth, Bell Journal of Economics 10(1): 92-116.

Grupp, H.; Schubert, T. (2010): Review and New evidence on Composite Innovation Indicators for Evaluating National Performance, Research Policy, Vol. 39, S. 67-78.

Mairesse, J., Mohnen, P. (2002): Accounting for Innovation and Measuring Innovativeness: An Illustrative Framework and Application, American Economic Review 92(2). S. 226-230.

Lundvall, B.-A. (Hrsg.) (1992): National Systems of innovation: towards a theory of innovation and interactive learning. London: Pinter.

Schlanker und aktueller

- Cherchye, L.; Lovell, C.A.K.; Moesen, W.; van Puyenbroeck, T. (2005): One market, one number? A composite indicator assessment of EU internal market dynamics. Discussion Paper Series DPS 05.13. Center for Economic Studies, Leuven University.
- Crépon, B.; Duguet, E.; Mairesse, J. (1998) Research, Innovation and Productivity: An Econometric Analysis at the Firm Level, *Economics of Innovation and New Technologies*, 7, S. 115-158.
- Edquist, C. (1997): Systems of Innovation Approaches - Their Emergence and Characteristics. In: Edquist, C. (Hrsg.): *Systems of Innovation. Technologies, Institutions and Organizations*. London: Pinter, S. 1-35.
- Griliches, Z. (1979): Issues in Assessing the Contribution of Research and Development to Productivity Growth, *Bell Journal of Economics* 10(1): 92-116.
- Grupp, H., Mogege, M., 2004. Indicators for national science and technology policy: how robust are composite indicators. *Research Policy* 33, S. 1373–1384.
- Grupp, H.; Schubert, T. (2010): Review and New evidence on Composite Innovation Indicators for Evaluating National Performance, *Research Policy*, Vol. 39, S. 67-78.
- Lundvall, B.-A. (Hrsg.) (1992): *National Systems of innovation: towards a theory of innovation and interactive learning*. London: Pinter.
- Mairesse, J., Mohnen, P. (2002): Accounting for Innovation and Measuring Innovativeness: An Illustrative Framework and Application, *American Economic Review* 92(2). S. 226-230.

Der Innovationsindikator im Vergleich

- Europäische Kommission (2011), *Innovation Union Scoreboard (IUS) 2010. The Innovation Union's Performance Scoreboard for Research and Innovation*, Brüssel: Europäische Union.
- INSEAD (2010), *The Global Innovation Index 2009-10*, o.O.: INSEAD.
- IMD World Competitiveness Center (2011), *IMD World Competitiveness Yearbook 2011*, Washington: IMD.
- Economist Intelligence Unit (2009), *A New Ranking of the World's Most Innovative Countries*, London: Economist Intelligence Unit.
- World Economic Forum (2010), *The Global Competitiveness Report 2010-2011*, Genf: WEF.
- Andrew, J.P., DeRocco, E.S., Taylor, A. (2009), *The Innovation Imperative in Manufacturing. How the United States Can Restore Its Edge*, Boston: Boston Consulting Group.

Atkinson, R.D., Andes, S.M. (2009), The Atlantic Century. Benchmarking EU & U.S. Innovation and Competitiveness, Washington: The Information Technology and Innovation Foundation.

Exkurs "Frauen stellen das Ranking auf den Kopf"

Bührer, S./Hufnagl, M. (2010): Successful women innovators - how did they get where they are? In: Spitzley, A./Ohlhausen, P./Spath, D. (Hrsg.): The Innovation Potential of Diversity. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag, 117-145.

Bührer, S./Schraudner, M. (2010): Die Dimension Gender bei der Festlegung und Bearbeitung von Forschungsthemen. In: Schraudner, M. (Hrsg.): Diversity im Innovationssystem. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag, 19-44.

Bührer, S./Hufnagl, M./Schraudner, M. (2009): Frauen im Innovationssystem - im Team zum Erfolg. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag.

Exkurs "Berufliche Bildung – Systemvielfalt erschwert internationalen Vergleich"

BMBF (2011), Berufsbildungsbericht 2011, Berlin: Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung.

Box, S., E. Basri (2011), Skills for Innovation and Research, Paris: OECD.

Field, S., K. Höckel, V. Kis, M. Kuczera (2011), Learning for Jobs, Paris: OECD.

Ludwig, V., F. Pfeiffer (2005), Abschreibungsraten allgemeiner und beruflicher Ausbildungsinhalte, ZEW Discussion Paper 05-36, Mannheim: ZEW.

Toner, P. (2010), Workforce Skills and Innovation: An Overview of Major Themes in the Literature, OECD Working Paper, Paris: OECD.